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Abstract

1. Chicken-associated *Campylobacter* spp. are the cause of most food poisoning cases in Europe. In order to study the host-pathogen interactions, a reliable and reproducible method of colonising chickens with the bacteria is required.

2. This study aimed to identify a more appropriate and less invasive method of colonisation (cf. gavaging) by seeding bedding material (litter) that commercial chickens are kept on with a mixture of *Campylobacter* spp., broth and faeces.

3. The first phase of the study tested the longevity of *Campylobacter* spp. recovery in seeded litter over 24 h: significantly more *Campylobacter* spp. was recovered at 0 or 3 h post-seeding than at 6 and 24 h post-seeding, indicating that the pathogen can survive to detectable levels for at least 3 h in this environment.

4. In the second phase, three groups of 10 broiler chickens (negative for *Campylobacter* spp. prior to exposure) were exposed at 21 days of age to one of three different *Campylobacter jejuni* and *C. coli* mixes (A, B, C), using the method above. At 28 days of age, birds were euthanised by overdose of barbiturate or cervical dislocation, and livers and caeca removed for *Campylobacte* spp. assessment.

5. All liver and 28/30 caeca samples tested positive for *Campylobacte* spp., with mix A and C giving higher counts in the caeca than mix B. The method of euthanasia did not affect *Campylobacter* spp. counts.

6. In conclusion, a successful method for reliably colonising broiler chickens with *Campylobacter* spp. has been developed which negates the need for gavaging and is more representative of how contamination occurs in the field.
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Introduction

Campylobacter are Gram-negative microaerophilic bacteria that are frequently present in the digestive tract of pigs, cattle and poultry, and can be often found post-slaughter on and in poultry muscle and organs such as liver. Campylobacter is thought to cause approximately nine million cases of food-borne illness per year in the European Union, with an estimated loss of productivity of ~ €2.4 billion (European Food Safety Authority, 2014). Chicken meat is responsible for about 80% of Campylobacter spp. food-borne illness in the UK (Food Standards Agency, 2017) and, despite much research, carriage rates in chickens and human cases remain high. Campylobacter spp. has been shown to have an impact on the health and welfare of broiler chickens in both commercial (Bull et al., 2008; Rushton et al., 2009) and experimental studies (Williams et al., 2013). Campylobacter spp. colonisation has been linked to poor flock performance and economic losses (Smith et al., 2016). The human health threat from Campylobacter-positive chicken is not only from surface contamination, as edible tissues are also positive (Berndtson et al., 1992; Scherer et al., 2006; Whyte et al., 2006; Luber and Bartelt, 2007) and contaminated chicken liver is a major vehicle for human infection (Inns et al., 2010; Little et al., 2010), as is undercooked chicken meat.

In order to understand how Campylobacter spp. in broiler chickens is affected by husbandry practices (such as catching birds for slaughter or in-feed interventions), it is important to be able to study reliably-colonised chickens in a research environment.
Previous work studying poultry colonised with various organisms (e.g. *Campylobacter* and *Salmonella* spp.) have used oral gavaging techniques (Arsi *et al.*, 2015; Upadhyaya *et al.*, 2015; Saint-Cyr *et al.*, 2017). However, this is invasive, stressful and carries a risk of injury to the birds as well as not reproducing the conditions under which chickens would normally ingest the organism. In order to improve and refine techniques, this study aimed to determine if introducing *Campylobacter*-seeded litter to floor pens housing broiler chickens would result in reliable colonisation.

**Materials and Methods**

**Phase 1: litter seeding**

Used poultry litter (a mixture of wood shavings, broiler excreta, spilt feed and water) was collected from a previous trial at Scotland’s Rural College (SRUC), Ayr. The litter was weighed and sterilised by drying in an oven at 80°C until a constant weight was obtained, and then divided into 400 g batches in six trays (approximately 38 x 28 x 8 cm). Each dried litter tray was then reconstituted with 1 l of deionised water.

A *Campylobacter* strain that had been isolated previously from caecal samples taken from a commercial chicken farm and stored at -80°C in bead cryopreservation vials (Technical Service Consultants, UK) was used. The strain was resuscitated on Blood Agar No. 2 with Horse Blood (BA) plates (Oxoid, UK). These cultures were used to prepare lawn plates on further BA plates, incubated for 40-48 hrs at 41.5°C microaerobically (CampyGen, Oxoid, UK). The lawn plates were harvested by adding 5 ml Mueller-Hinton (MH) broth (Sigma-Aldrich, UK), gently detaching the culture with a sterile spreader and decanting to a container. The suspension was then adjusted
with further MH broth to optical density $600$ of $0.19 – 0.21$ (approximately $1.5 \times 10^5$ cfu ml$^{-1}$). The litter trays were seeded with a mixture of 20 ml MH broth, 10 ml *Campylobacter* spp. suspension and 10 g dried hen faeces (dried in the same way as the litter), by applying evenly to the surface of the litter.

The litter trays were incubated at 21°C. At 0, 3, 6 and 24 h after seeding, a different quarter of each tray was sampled. A sterile pot was filled with litter collected from the top 1 cm of the selected quadrant. Subsequently, a 5 g portion of the collected litter was mixed with 45 ml of MH broth. A swab was used to streak each litter/broth mix sample onto two modified Charcoal Cefoperazone Deoxycholate agar (mCCDA, Oxoid, UK) plates, which were incubated microaerobically at 41.5°C for 24 h.

**Phase 2: colonising birds**

Forty-three Ross 308 male broiler chicks (*Gallus gallus domesticus*) were housed from day old in a single litter-floor pen with a brooding heat lamp. The ambient air temperature was 32°C on arrival, and was gradually reduced to 21°C at 21 days of age. At 7 days of age, all chicks were wing tagged and weighed, and the 13 lightest chicks (mean weight 170 g) were removed from further study. The remaining 30 chicks (mean weight 208 g, range 187-239 g) were distributed to three pens of ten chicks each, according to weight in order to reduce variation within pen (i.e. ten lightest chicks to pen 1, ten middle weight chicks to pen 2, ten heaviest chicks to pen 3) with 0.66 m$^2$ total floor space per bird. Chicks were reared until 28 days of age.

Birds were fed a standard commercial starter crumb from arrival to 14 days of age, and then a grower pellet from 14-28 days of age. Food and water were provided *ad libitum* from a circular food hopper and bell drinker.
At 21 days of age, one litter sample per pen and one cloacal swab per bird were collected to check for the presence of *Campylobacter* spp. All samples were processed on the day of collection. For litter samples, a sterile pot was filled with litter collected from the top 1 cm of the pen. For cloacal swabs, a viscose-tipped Amies charcoal transport swab (12 cm long, Deltalab, Spain) was gently inserted 2 cm into the vent of each bird and rotated back and forth for approximately 5 sec, then sealed inside the integrated holder. Litter samples were processed as described previously using MH broth, and plated in duplicate onto mCCDA plates. Each cloacal sample was streak plated onto mCCDA plates in duplicate. All plates were incubated for 40-48 h at 41.5°C microaerobically as before.

On the same day, subsequent to the initial sample collection, three trays of dried reconstituted litter were seeded with ten *Campylobacter* strains, identified either as mix A, B or C (Table 1), which were added to pen 1, 2, or 3 respectively, using the method described in Part 1. Each mix used five strains common among all three mixes (1-5) and five unique strains (A6-A10, B6-B10, and C6-C10). Mix A used known strains that have been previously used in colonisation studies, mix B used systemic isolates that were previously isolated from the liver of commercial broiler chickens, and mix C used non-systemic isolates that were previously isolated from the gut of commercial broiler chickens, but were unique in genotype to the systemic isolates. The trays were sunk into the existing floor litter of the pens, close to the feeder tubes, and some broiler feed was sprinkled on top to encourage foraging at the seeded litter and subsequent ingestion of the bacteria.
On day 28, all 30 birds were humanely killed, half by manual cervical dislocation and the other half by overdose of barbiturate (pentobarbital sodium administered IV at 1 ml kg\(^{-1}\) body weight) to assess if either method affected the recovery of *Campylobacter* spp. The caecum and a sample of the liver were aseptically removed and placed into separate sterile bags with the *Campylobacter* mix (A, B or C) noted. Samples were stored on ice in a polystyrene box until processing 2 hours later.

A 1 g sample of caecal contents were removed from the caecum and placed into a universal, to which 9 ml of saline was added and vortexed to mix. Subsequent dilutions (1:10) were performed using saline in a microtitre plate, 100 µl of the -2, -4 and -6 dilutions were spread plated onto mCCDA, then plates were incubated at 42°C for 24 h microaerobically as described above. Numbers of suspect colonies were counted to yield cfu g\(^{-1}\) and a subset confirmed as *Campylobacter* spp. using growth on duplicate BA plates, one incubated aerobically and one microaerobically at 42 °C for 24 h. If there was any growth on the aerobic plate the results were discounted. Colonies from the microaerobic plate were stored on cyrobeads at -80 °C.

With each liver sample, 5 g was removed from the bag, dipped in 70% ethanol and flamed using a Bunsen burner to surface sterilise. The liver was placed in a stomacher bag with 45 ml of saline, and samples were homogenised in a Colworth stomacher for 1 min or until an even homogenate was created. A 2 ml sample of each homogenate was placed in a universal and 20 ml of modified Exeter enrichment broth (Mattick et al., 2003) was added to produce a minimal headspace, lids were tightly capped and
the enrichments were aerobically incubated at 42°C for 24 hours. After incubation, a
10 µl loopful of the enrichment was plated onto mCCDA, plates were incubated at
42°C for 24 hours microaerobically as described above. Results were interpreted as
presence or absence of *Campylobacter* spp. depending on growth. Colonies were
picked on duplicate BA plates, one incubated aerobically and one microaerobically at
42°C for 24 hours. If there was any growth on the aerobic plate the results were
discounted. Colonies from the microaerobic plate were stored on cyrobeads at -80 °C.

Ethical note
The study was conducted in the UK under a Home Office licence (PPL 60/4505) and
was approved by SRUC’s Animal Welfare and Ethical Review Body. The study
fulfils the EU requirements on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes
(European Commission, 2010).

Statistical analysis
Data were analysed using Genstat (Release 16.1, 2013). For litter seeding data, log$_{10}$
of counts (cfu g$^{-1}$) were calculated and analysed by one-way ANOVA for the time
effect (degrees of freedom (d.f.) = 3) on counts, with ‘tray’ designated as the block.
Binary data for liver samples (presence of *Campylobacter* = yes/no) were generated,
but because all samples gave the same result, no statistical test was undertaken. For
caecal data, log$_{10}$ of counts (cfu g$^{-1}$) were calculated and analysed by two-way
ANOVA to examine the effect of *Campylobacter* strain mix (d.f.) = 2), kill method
(d.f. = 1), and their interaction (d.f. = 2).

Results
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Phase 1: litter seeding

Samples from all six trays had a measurable amount of *Campylobacter* spp. growth at 0, 3 and 6 h after seeding. No *Campylobacter* spp. were recovered from samples taken 24 hours after seeding (Table 2). Significantly (P<0.001) more *Campylobacter* spp. was recovered from litter samples taken at 0 or 3 hours than at 6 and 24 hours after seeding.

--Insert Table 2 approximately here--

Phase 2: colonising birds

At day 21, all litter and cloacal swabs were found to be negative for *Campylobacter* spp. At 28 days of age, birds weighed on average 1653-1782 g (SD: 76-157 g), and birds killed by cervical dislocation were on average 20 g lighter (mean ± SD: 1696 ± 160.6 g) than those killed by overdose of barbiturate (1716 ± 125.6 g).

*Campylobacter* spp. were detected in all 30 liver samples using enrichment culture; thus, there was no effect of strain mix on recovery. On further identification, the strains were found to be *Campylobacter jejuni* multilocus sequence type 257 (n=22) and *C. coli* multilocus sequence type 828 (n=8). All birds exposed to mixes A and B had livers that contained *C. jejuni* multilocus sequence type 257 whereas mix C had eight birds with livers that contained *C. jejuni* multilocus sequence type 257 and two birds with livers containing *C. coli* multilocus sequence type 828.

*Campylobacter* spp. were detected in the caeca of 28/30 birds. Both negative results came from birds exposed to mix B (one culled by overdose of barbiturate, one killed
Campylobacter spp. counts from the caeca were significantly affected by the strain mix (P <0.001), but not by the cull method (P=0.308), nor was there an interaction between strain mix and cull method (P=0.711; Table 3). Excluding the two birds from strain mix B where no Campylobacter spp. counts were obtained did not greatly alter the results (i.e. effect of strain mix: P=0.002, effect of cull method: P=0.308, interaction: P=0.745).

Discussion

Litter seeding with a mixture of Campylobacter spp., broth and chicken faeces was successful in that measurable amounts of Campylobacter spp. were recovered up to 3 h after seeding. Recovered Campylobacter spp. at 6 h was significantly lower than at 0 and 3 h, and did not differ from 24 h (where counts were always zero), suggesting that the organism is viable in this environment for less than 6 h, but at least for 3 h. This is important, as the organism must survive long enough for some birds to ingest it via foraging in the seeded litter.

When three different Campylobacter spp. mixtures were presented using litter seeding to naïve broiler chickens, the method worked successfully in that all liver samples and 93% of caeca samples tested positive for at least one of the relevant Campylobacter strains seven days after bird exposure. The negative caecal results could have been due to inhibition of Campylobacter spp. by other bacterial species or that these strains did not establish themselves in the caecal niche. Nevertheless, these results indicated that the organism survived long enough for at least some birds to ingest it via foraging in the seeded litter.
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ingest it, presumably due to foraging on the seeded trays. Even if only a few chickens
ingested the organism in the first instance from the trays, the subsequent production of
colonised faeces and frequent foraging behaviour, in which chickens scratch and peck
at the floor litter (which has the faeces in it), will recycle the organism until it has
spread to birds throughout the pen. This could be confirmed by doing sequential
sampling of birds on seeded litter, as opposed to sampling all birds at one time point
(as was done in this experiment), and investigating variation in organism counts over
time. However, previous studies have shown that inoculating just a few birds in a
group leads to successful colonisation of the organism in the naïve birds (Shanker et
al, 1990; Line et al, 1998). Foraging behaviour tends to decline with increasing age
in broilers (Bessei, 1992; Wallenbeck et al, 2016), so colonisation rate using litter
seeding may be affected by bird age.

It was notable that the two caeca in which Campylobacter spp. Were not detected
came from mix B, so this may be a less reliable mix compared to mix A or C. Neither
mix A nor C had a greater count of Campylobacter spp. in the caeca, so they may be
equally suitable for use, depending on whether or not the point of study is to
investigate effects of mainly C. jejuni strains, which predominated in mix A, but were
roughly equal with C. coli in mix C.

The method of killing did not affect the mean counts of Campylobacter spp. in the
caea, which indicated that either method can be used without affecting data. This is
important, as studies carried out on e.g. commercial broiler farms are more likely to
use cervical dislocation as a method of killing, as opposed to using controlled
medicines.
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Previous studies (Stern et al., 1991; Young et al., 1999; Dhillon et al., 2006; de los Santos et al., 2008; Arsi et al., 2015) have used oral gavage as a reliable method of introducing *Campylobacter* spp. to chickens. A study of colonisation over time (Stern, 2008) demonstrated that the caeca of broiler chicks were colonised with *C. jejuni* within four days of inoculation, and that the numbers generally increased with time up to week four (ranging from $10^6$-$10^8$ cfu g$^{-1}$), regardless of *C. jejuni* challenge levels ($10^4$-$10^7$ cfu). Similarly, McCrea et al. (2006) found that 20-day old broiler chickens inoculated with *C. jejuni* isolates from either squabs, ducks, or chickens by oral gavage had average colonisation rates of $10^6$-$10^7$ cfu g$^{-1}$ 10 days post-inoculation. Here, the litter seeding method gave comparable results seven days post exposure, but with the advantage of refining the method to avoid invasive gavage techniques and to more accurately represent how chickens would pick up the organism naturally in a commercial poultry shed environment.

In conclusion, this method of litter seeding with different mixtures of *Campylobacter* spp. was successful at colonising 21-day old broilers by 28 days of age, with *Campylobacter* spp. reliably recovered in the liver and caeca (but less so with mix B). It is therefore proposed that this is a suitable technique for colonising broiler chickens for the study of *Campylobacter* spp. in a commercially-relevant manner, without the need to gavage. The method might also be used successfully with other organisms, but this would require further study.
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Table 1: Mixes A (4.5 x 10^7 cfu ml\(^{-1}\)), B (8.0 x 10^7 cfu ml\(^{-1}\)) and C (7.0 x 10^7 cfu ml\(^{-1}\)) of different Campylobacter strains, with multilocus sequence type shown in brackets. All mixes used five common strains (1-5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mix A (pen 1)</th>
<th>Mix B (pen 2)</th>
<th>Mix C (pen 3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. C. jejuni 11168 (43)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. C. jejuni M1 (137)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. C. coli RM 2228 (107)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. C. coli (828)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. C. jejuni 13126 (21)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* typed as both C. jejuni and C. coli
Table 2: Mean log$_{10}$ of counts (cfu g$^{-1}$) of recovered Campylobacter spp. and standard deviation (SD) at 0, 3, 6, and 24 h after seeding litter (n=6). $P<0.001$ where superscripts differ (by one-way ANOVA).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample time</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 h</td>
<td>2.22$^a$</td>
<td>1.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 h</td>
<td>1.85$^b$</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 h</td>
<td>0.85$^c$</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 h</td>
<td>0.00$^c$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3 Mean log\(_{10}\) of counts (cfu g\(^{-1}\)) of *Campylobacter* spp. from caeca samples, according to cull method or *Campylobacter* spp. mix, with standard deviation (SD) shown. *N*=10 birds per mix; *P*<0.001 where superscripts differ (by two-way ANOVA).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cervical</th>
<th>Overdose of</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dislocation</td>
<td>barbiturate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mix</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td>5.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>5.51</td>
<td>5.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>5.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>5.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>