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INTERPRETIVE SUMMARY

**Damage to internal foot structures with lameness**

Newsome

Lameness is the presentation of several prevalent diseases in dairy cattle that cause pain when walking. A group of these diseases, which have great impact upon productivity, are non-infectious and little is known about how they occur. Targeting prevention is therefore difficult.

This work demonstrates damage within the feet of cows that have experienced more lameness during life. The study builds on previous work showing that detecting and treating lame cows early is essential in lameness management; we suggest that this limits permanent damage within the foot that perpetuates lameness.
EXOSTOSIS AND CHRONIC LAMENESS
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ABSTRACT

Claw horn disruption lesions (CHDLs: sole hemorrhage, sole ulcer and white line disease) cause a large proportion of lameness in dairy cattle, yet their etiopathogenesis remains poorly understood. Untreated CHDLs may be associated with damage to the internal anatomy of the foot, including to the caudal aspect of the distal phalanx upon which bone developments have been reported with age and with sole ulcers at slaughter. The primary aim of this study was to assess whether bone development was associated with poor locomotion and occurrence of CHDLs during a cow’s life.

A retrospective cohort study imaged 282 hind claws from 72 Holstein-Friesian dairy cows culled from a research herd using μ-computed tomography (resolution: 0.11 mm). Four measures of bone development were taken from the caudal aspect of each distal phalanx, in caudal, ventral and dorsal directions, and combined within each claw. Cow-level variables were constructed to quantify the average bone development on all hind feet (BD-Ave) and the bone development on the most severely affected foot (BD-Max). Weekly locomotion scores were available since first calving (1-5 scale). BD-Ave and BD-Max were used as outcomes in a linear regression model; the explanatory variables included locomotion score during life, binary variables denoting lifetime occurrence of CHDLs and of infectious lameness causes, age and other cow variables.

BD-Max and BD-Ave increased with age, CHDL occurrence and an increasing proportion of locomotion scores at which a cow was lame (score 4 or 5). The models estimate that BD-Max would be 9.8 mm (S.E. 3.9) greater in cows that had been lame at >50% of scores within the 12 months pre-slaughter (compared with cows that had been assigned no lame scores during the same period), or 7.0 mm (S.E. 2.2) greater if the cow had been treated for a CHDL during life (compared with cows that had not). Additionally, histology demonstrated that new bone development was osteoma, also termed “exostosis”.

Age explained much of the variation in bone development. The association between bone development and locomotion score during life is a novel finding and bone development appears specific to CHDLs. BD-Max was the best explained outcome, which detailed the most severely affected foot and would seem most likely to influence locomotion score. In order to stop irreparable anatomical damage within the foot, early identification of CHDLs and effective treatment could be critical.
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INTRODUCTION

Claw horn disruption lesions (CHDLs) constitute a non-infectious subset of the lameness-causing diseases and include sole ulcers, sole hemorrhage and white line disease (Offer et al., 2003; Bicalho and Oikonomou, 2013). CHDLs have a high rate of reoccurrence (Enevoldsen et al., 1991; Green et al., 2014; Foditsch et al., 2016), delayed detection of lameness increases the risk of more severe lameness (Bell et al., 2009) and the risk of CHDLs increases as a cow ages (Sanders et al., 2009). Given that CHDLs are associated with production losses, reproductive inefficiency and poor welfare (Sprecher et al., 1997; Dyer et al., 2007; Algers et al., 2009), preventing the disease would be ideal (Potterton et al., 2012). However, their etiopathogenesis remains poorly understood; better understanding of the disease process may inform targeted prevention strategies (Algers et al., 2009; Potterton et al., 2012).

Within the hoof capsule, the distal phalanx is suspended from the wall through laminar attachments and supported above the sole by the digital cushion (Lischer et al., 2002). The ‘typical’ sole ulcer (one of the most severe manifestations of claw horn disruption) develops beneath the axial aspect of the flexor tuberosity of the distal phalanx (Rusterholz, 1920); sole hemorrhage is considered a precursor (Whay et al., 1997). Short ligaments attach the abaxial aspect of the pedal bone to the abaxial hoof wall, whilst longer interdigital ligaments supporting the axial side of the pedal bone allow greater depression of the axial aspect of the flexor tuberosity during foot-strike, perhaps leading to greater compression of the germinal epithelium at the sole ulcer site (Lischer et al., 2002). The digital cushion dissipates concussive forces transferred through the caudal aspect of the pedal bone during foot-strike and loading, and is thought to aid CHDL prevention by reducing peak forces on the germinal epithelium of the sole (Räber et al., 2004; Bicalho et al., 2009; Gard et al., 2015).
Bone developments appear on and around the flexor tuberosity with age (Tsuka et al., 2012) and have been termed “exostosis” (Maclean, 1970; Blowey et al., 2000; Lischer et al., 2002), indicating growth of new bone from the surface of a bone, or “enthesopathy” (Tsuka et al., 2012), indicating the inclusion of an enthesis (the insertion of a tendon or ligament onto bone). The new bone development may be an exacerbating factor for ulceration (Rusterholz, 1920; Maclean, 1970; Tsuka et al., 2012), and appears greater in cows with sole ulcers at slaughter (Tsuka et al., 2012), yet a link between lifetime history of lameness and lesions has not been demonstrated.

Our primary aim was to discern whether bone developments on the caudal aspect of the distal phalanx were associated with lameness from CHDLs throughout a cow’s life, and secondarily to define their cellular makeup.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design and Hypothesis

A retrospective cohort study investigated whether lameness and other variables recorded during life were associated with bone development on the caudal aspect of the distal phalanx at slaughter. The null hypothesis was that a lifetime history of poor locomotion or occurrence of CHDLs (assessed using locomotion score and treatment data, respectively) was not associated with greater bone development on and around the caudal aspects of the distal phalanx at slaughter.

Study Herd

The study population consisted of cows culled from the Crichton Royal Herd at the SRUC Dairy Research and Innovation Centre, Dumfries, UK, between November 2013 and August 2014. The centre was comprised of two units, ‘Langhill’ and ‘Acrehead’, where cows were milked three times daily.

As heifers, all animals calved into the Langhill herd. The Langhill herd runs a long term 2 x 2 factorial design trial; genetic line by management system. Cows were between 75 and 99% pure Holstein and split into two genetic lines “Control” and “Select”. Control line sires had predicted transmitting abilities for fat plus protein yield representative of the UK average at time of breeding, whereas Select line sires had the highest available within the UK (Pryce et al., 1999). Management systems were (1) Home-grown: cows were managed less intensively with access to pasture where possible (typically between April and October) and fed a high forage diet of entirely farm-grown produce, and (2) By-product: cows were housed year-round and fed a low forage diet consisting of straw and bought-in distillery by-products, molasses and soya (Pryce et al., 1999; Chagunda et al., 2009). Cows at Langhill were locomotion scored weekly by trained, experienced assessors following standard protocols, on a five point scale based on Manson and Leaver (1988). Cows given a score of 4 or 5 (defined
as ‘obvious lameness on any leg, where behaviour is affected’) on a single visit or a score of 3 (‘slight lameness detectable’) on two consecutive weeks were considered lame and received veterinary treatment, as described by Randall et al. (2015). A professional foot trimmer attended both herds bi-annually to trim feet deemed to be overgrown.

Acrehead is primarily a commercial unit and locomotion score data was not routinely captured. Cows were moved from Langhill to Acrehead at the end of their fourth lactation, although they could have been moved earlier due to incidence of mastitis, poor fertility or requirements of experimental protocols in the Langhill herd. Therefore, locomotion data immediately preceding slaughter was only available for cows that had recently been at Langhill. Culling occurred from both herds based on commercial or health and welfare grounds.

**Sample Collection**

The hind feet of all cows culled from either herd between the specified dates were collected post mortem at an abattoir, uniquely identified, and transported on ice to the University of Nottingham for storage at -20 ºC.

**Computed Tomography Imaging of Feet**

Feet were thawed overnight prior to CT scanning, then packaged in pairs using radiolucent foam and containers. The device used was a cone beam X-ray micro Computed Tomography (X-ray μCT) scanner: Phoenix v|tome|x m (GE Sensing and Inspection Technologies GmbH, Wunstorf, Germany), set at 125 kilovolts and 320 microamps (Archer et al., 2015). A 0.5 mm copper filter was placed near the x-ray tube to reduce detector saturation and samples were orientated to minimize scatter at the site of measurement. The distance between the X-ray source and the sample and the X-ray source and the detector was 450.29 mm and 818.69 mm, respectively, resulting in a magnification of x1.82 and a spatial resolution of 110 μm. Each scan acquired 2160 projection images over a 360° rotation of the
sample using a detector exposure time of 333 ms, integrated over three averaged images, resulting in a total scan time of 48 min. Data were reconstructed using an inline median smoothing filter in datos|x software (GE Sensing), and exported in a volume file (.vgl) for image analysis using VGStudio MAX 2.2 (Volume Graphics GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany).

**Measurement of Bone Developments**

Image files of each foot were assessed to measure the extent of bone development extending from the caudal aspect of the distal phalanx of each claw (Figure 1a and 1b). Linear measurements were taken of the maximum extent of bone development at four locations, A-D, extending in the following directions: (A) plantar from the flexor tuberosity, (B) distally (towards the toe) along the base of the distal phalanx, (C) caudally from the axial aspect of the flexor tuberosity and (D) caudally from the abaxial aspect of the flexor tuberosity. Prior to all measurements being taken, each claw was orientated in sagittal, transverse and frontal cross-sectional views simultaneously following a standard protocol, to ensure landmarks and direction of measurements were consistent (Figure 1a).

Measurement A was the greatest vertical bone development from the contour of the cortical bone, on the plantar aspect of the flexor tuberosity. The caudal most aspect of the trochlear ridge of the distal phalanx in the distal interphalangeal joint (located as “X” in Figure 1a and 1b) was identified as a consistent landmark that did not alter with bone development. A line drawn vertically down from X was visible in all views and became the origin of measurements B, C and D. Measurement B was taken in the sagittal plane and extended horizontally from the line vertically down from X to the distal-most tip (towards the toe) of bone development on the plantar aspect of the distal phalanx. Measurements C and D were taken in the transverse plane and extended from the vertical line down from X to the caudal-most tip of the greatest bone development axial to and abaxial to location X respectively (Figure 1b). Scrolling through the μCT image slices of 0.11 mm thickness
enabled identification of the greatest protrusion in each case. The height (measured vertically from location X to the origin of measurement A) and width (measured across the widest point) of the caudal aspect of the distal phalanx were also recorded.

**Statistical Analysis; Descriptive Statistics**

Associations of bone development between feet within cow and between claws within foot were explored using scatterplots and Spearman rank correlation coefficients or coefficients of determination, and compared with non-parametric Mann-Whitney U tests.

**Statistical Analysis; Modelling**

To evaluate the relationship between cow lameness history and bone development, linear regression models were constructed with outcome variables describing bone development and explanatory variables describing cow factors, including age and lameness data. Two variables calculated from measurements A to D were tested individually as outcomes in a linear regression model, using ordinary least squares algorithms and a forward stepwise procedure. To obtain the two outcome variables, measurements A to D were summed within each claw; (1) BD-Max was the greatest individual claw value (representing the most severely affected foot) and (2) BD-Ave was the mean value across all hind claws.

Explanatory variables describing a cow’s lameness history were constructed to evaluate associations between bone development and lameness, and were based on either lesion treatment or locomotion score data. For lesion treatment, binary variables denoted whether a cow had been treated for (i) a CHDL or (ii) an infectious cause of lameness, since first calving. The impact of locomotion score on bone development at slaughter was explored using descriptions of locomotion score as follows. The percentage of scores at which a cow was lame (scores 3-5) or severely lame (4-5) was calculated for lactation 1, lactation 2, the 6, 12, 18 and 24 months subsequent to first calving and periods preceding slaughter of between 2 and 12 months. Mean and median locomotion scores were tested during the same periods.
Individual animals could only be included in a model if locomotion score data were available within the period defined for that description of the locomotion score variable. Finally, to test the effect of cows with missing data on model parameters, the locomotion score variable was categorised to allow the inclusion of cows with no locomotion score data within the period defined by the model. The category thresholds specified were “severely lame at <2% of locomotion scores”, “severely lame at 2-50% of scores” and “severely lame at >50% of scores”, and a missing data category contained cows where no locomotion score data was available. Other explanatory variables included were, age (years) at slaughter, genetic line, management system, proportion of life spent in each management system and culling reason. Polynomial terms of all continuous variables were also tested in the models.

Models were constructed in Minitab 17 Statistical Software (2010) following principles outlined by (Dohoo et al., 2009) and took the format:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2^2 + \ldots + e_{0i}$$

$$[e_{0i}] \sim \text{Normal (0, } \sigma^2_e)$$

where $X_i$ were exposure variables for the $i^{th}$ cow, $\beta$ were the relevant coefficients of these exposures, $\beta_0$ the intercept value and $e_{0i}$ the residual error term, with mean 0 and variance $\sigma^2_e$. Biologically plausible interactions were tested and significant terms were retained in the model at $P < 0.05$.

Model fit was assessed as follows. Data points with large leverage or influence were identified and their impact on model parameters was evaluated using the DFITS function in Minitab®, which quantifies the difference in model parameters both with and without each data point. Model fit was deemed to be adequate if model parameters remained biologically and statistically similar (i.e. coefficients remained significant) when the model was re-fit excluding data points with high leverage or influence. Both outcome variables were log-
transformed to determine whether model fit or interpretation changed with the transformed outcome, but since this did not occur, non-transformed data were used for the final models.

**Histological Analysis of Bone Developments**

Samples from the caudal aspects of 9 distal phalanges, identified by a stratified random sampling technique, underwent histology in order to investigate the tissue architecture and cellular composition. The subset consisted of 3 normal samples, 3 samples with bone development from cows where lameness history was available and 3 of the most severely affected samples, which were from old cows and lameness history was unavailable.

The samples were cut to 5 mm x 10 mm x 10 mm with a band saw and immersed in PBS for 12 h, to wash in preparation for histology. The following histology protocol was optimized with samples from claws not included in the analysis subset, but displaying similar changes. Samples were: (1) decalcified in Calci-Clear (National Diagnostics, Atlanta, U.S.), which was replaced every 2 h until the endpoint of decalcification was reached. The endpoint was identified when no precipitate (Ca(OH)$_2$) formed after the addition of ammonium hydroxide to a sample of the Calci-Clear solution, and typically took 28 h. The samples were replaced in Calci-Clear for a further 6 h beyond the endpoint since in preliminary work this had improved cutting without affecting the histology; (2) dehydrated in increasing concentrations of ethanol up to 100% (in the order: 70 % ethanol for 1.5 h at room temperature, 90 % for 72 h at 5 °C, 100 % for 3 h at room temperature [x2]); (3) cleared with xylene (3 h [x2]); (4) embedded in paraffin wax; (5) cut in the sagittal plane at 9 microns using a microtome (Leica Microsystems (UK) Ltd., Milton Keynes) with a “N35” long duration stainless steel microtome blade (FEATHER®, Osaka, Japan); (6) stained with haematoxylin and eosin: in brief, sections were deparaffinised, rehydrated through an ethanol series, placed into haematoxylin for 2.5 min, immersed in 1% industrial methylated spirit and 10% ammoniated water for 15 s each and placed in eosin for 4 min prior to dehydration
through an ethanol series; (7) analysed and photomicrographs taken using a light microscope (DM5000 B, Leica Microsystems Ltd.) and a Digital Colour Camera (DFC420, Leica Microsystems Ltd.) with Leica Application Suite software. Analysis was carried out in a blinded manner to ensure no bias towards samples. Histology and microscopy were supervised by CSR who is an anatomist and histologist.
RESULTS

Animal data

Within the sample collection period, 142 hind feet from 72 cows were collected; two feet from two different cows were irretrievable at the abattoir. Mean cow age at slaughter was 71 months (median: 69, range: 30 to 139) and reasons cited for culling were grouped as fertility (n = 35), mastitis (n = 14), lameness (n = 8) and ‘other’ (n = 15).

Descriptive Statistics

Table 1 describes data for measurements of bone development A to D for the entire data set of 142 hind feet. The distribution for each measurement was right skewed, and A to C had a high count of zero values. Lateral claw measurements were greater than medial when all measurements A to D were tested together (p = 0.024); however, when each measurement (A, B, C or D) was tested individually, differences between lateral and medial claw measurement within foot were not significant. Measurements A to C were >0 more frequently in the lateral than the medial claw; poor correlations existed between lateral and medial measurements within foot; and Figure 2 illustrates correlations within individual measurements (A to D) between contralateral claws. Within claw, each measurement A to D was significantly correlated with every other measurement: Spearman Rank correlation coefficients (rho) ranged from 0.98 between A and B to 0.39 between C and D (p < 0.001 in each case).

The claw with the greatest bone development measurement for each cow (which became the variable BD-Max for that cow) was a lateral claw in 44 animals and a medial claw in 28, as broken down: right lateral (n = 22), left lateral (22), right medial (20) and left medial claw (8). BD-Max and BD-Ave were highly correlated ($R^2 = 0.92$; Figure 3) with a cow’s BD-Max being on average 1.7 times greater than BD-Ave.

Statistical Modelling
Locomotion score data were available during the 6, 12, 18 and 24 months following first calving for 60, 57, 58 and 53 cows respectively and during the 6, 12, 18 and 24 months preceding slaughter for 34, 38, 39 and 45 cows. Of the 72 cows, 28 were recorded as having been treated for a CHDL at some point during life.

All final models were based on the 38 cows that had locomotion score data available during the 12 months preceding slaughter. These models are presented in Tables 2a, 2b and 2c and include the explanatory variables age and either the percentage of locomotion scores at which a cow was lame during the 12 months preceding slaughter (2a and 2b) or occurrence of CHDLs during life (2c), for each outcome measure (BD-Max and BD-Ave). Locomotion score variables were significant when they described the percentage of lame scores between 2 (n = 24 cows) and 12 (n = 38, Table 2a) months pre-slaughter. Model parameters did not differ substantively when the locomotion score variable included data from different periods pre-slaughter; the model in Table 2a is an example of a model with good fit that was based on more of the data. This model estimates that a cow that had been scored lame at all locomotion scores during the 12 months pre-slaughter would have had a BD-Max of 9.7 mm (S.E. 4.8 mm) greater than a cow that was sound at all locomotion scores (Table 2a); the effect of locomotion on BD-Ave was not significant (P = 0.08). These 38 cows had a mean age of 60 months (range: 31 to 85 mo) and were lame at 20 % (range: 0 to 93 %) of locomotion scores within the 12 months preceding slaughter; mean age of the remaining cows was 83 months.

Table 2b presents models that contain the explanatory variables age and categories of the locomotion score variable; categorising the locomotion score variable enabled the effect of cows with missing data on model parameters to be tested. As cows with missing data were introduced into these categories, the number of outcome data points increased although there was no new explanatory data. The effect sizes and standard errors remained similar, although model fit deteriorated and ultimately prevented the model from converging. The models with
the best fit have been reported and contain no cows with missing data. The models estimate that cows that had been lame at >50% of locomotion scores had BD-Max and BD-Ave 9.8 mm (S.E. 3.9) and 5.0 mm (S.E. 2.4) greater than cows that had been lame at <2% of scores, respectively (Table 2b). Cows that had been lame at between 2 and 50% of scores had a smaller but non-significant effect in the same direction.

Table 2c presents models that contain CHDL occurrence as an explanatory variable, which were based on the same 38 cows as the previous models. BD-Max and BD-Ave were greater in cows that had experienced a CHDL compared with those who had not, regardless of the period from which data were taken. In the reported model (Table 2c), the 12 cows that had received treatment for a CHDL had a BD-Max value 7.0 mm (S.E. 2.2) greater than the 26 cows that had not; the effect size for BD-Ave was 3.6 mm (S.E. 1.3).

In all models, age explained the majority of the variation in bone development; polynomial terms of age were not significant in the final models. Both locomotion and CHDL occurrence explained additional variation in bone development. Since an increasing percentage of locomotion scores lame was positively associated with occurrence of CHDL (Figure 4), both variables were not included in the same final models.

Locomotion score variables describing lameness in periods subsequent to first calving, or during first or second lactation, did not significantly predict bone development at slaughter. Culling reason, genetic line and management system were not significant in the models, nor was occurrence of infectious causes of lameness. Height and width of the flexor tuberosity, as well as variables describing locomotion score data early in life, and mean and median locomotion scores for various periods throughout life, were all non-significant.

**Histology of Bone Developments**

On visual inspection of the morphology, all samples resembled bone. Histologically, all abnormal bone growth samples (n = 6) resembled benign growth of bone with a periosteal
lining, from the surface of a bone (Figure 5), in concordance with the structure of osteoma, or “exostosis”. Cortical bone surrounded trabecular bone, lined externally with periosteum and internally with endosteum. In some samples in the abnormal bone growth groups, the periosteum appeared thickened, constituting a thick fibrous area peripheral to the cortical bone, densely populated with cells on the superficial border. In some samples where bone extended far caudally, some bone segments were not connected to neighbouring bone but were surrounded by the soft tissue of the heel bulb.
DISCUSSION

Histologically, the tissue we studied was bone, and this work suggests that it can be termed osteoma, or exostosis. This is the first study to demonstrate that bone development on the caudal aspect of the distal phalanx at slaughter is positively associated with lameness history: after accounting for the effect of age, cows that had experienced more lameness in the 12 months pre-slaughter had greater bone development. Lifetime occurrence of CHDLs was associated with bone development, whilst the occurrence of infectious lameness diseases was not; this dataset suggests that the bone development is specific to CHDLs. Significant locomotion score terms detailed the percentage of scores lame leading up to slaughter, suggesting that bone development is associated with chronic lameness. The model indicates that if a cow had been lame at all locomotion scores in the 12 months preceding slaughter, BD-Max would be 9.7 mm greater than if the cow had been sound at all scores.

Age explained much of the variation in bone development, as previously reported on the pedal bones of cattle (Tsuka et al., 2012) and at a number of anatomical locations in Man (Benjamin et al., 2006; Slobodin et al., 2007). Further, bone developments were greater on the lateral claw, which bears more weight (van der Tol et al., 2004). This study reports an additional effect of lameness from CHDLs to the effect of age. Extrapolation of the reported models to a greater age range (outside the 31 to 85 months tested) would be inappropriate, yet we believe that the general inferences regarding the effect of lameness on bone development are also likely to be observed in older cows.

Recent work suggests that early detection and treatment of clinical lameness cases is a key aspect in managing the disease (Bell et al., 2009; Green et al., 2014; Groenevelt et al., 2014). The digital cushion sits beneath the caudal aspect of the distal phalanx and its capacity to dissipate shock during foot-strike may be important in preventing CHDLs (Räber et al., 2004; Bicalho et al., 2009; Machado et al., 2011). The inflammatory response to active
CHDLs could damage these cushioning structures and utilize local fat reserves (Räber et al., 2006). To stimulate discussion around the poorly defined sequence of events during lameness onset and perpetuation, we propose a possible pathogenic pathway that is outlined in Figure 6, and follows: (1) inflammation during an active CHDL, (2) fat utilized locally, (3) the digital cushion becomes depleted or is replaced with scar tissue and future cushioning capacity is impeded, (4) both local inflammation and trauma to the periosteum stimulate bone development, which exerts greater point-forces on the sole-producing germinal epithelium of the foot and (5) this self-perpetuating cycle whereby a CHDL damages the foot and predisposes the cow to further lameness consigns the cow to a lifetime at greater risk of lameness. In a recent randomized controlled trial testing four treatments for CHDLs, Thomas et al. (2015) found that administering non-steroidal anti-inflammatory treatment together with applying a block to the non-lame claw produced the best recovery rates. Both reducing inflammation and the weight bearing on the sole appear to be important in promoting healing and the resolution of lameness due to CHDLs. Further work is required to confirm or refute other aspects of this pathway.

Bone developments varied in appearance: some were smaller in size and consisted of mostly dense bone, whilst others were larger in size and contained more trabecular bone; these presentations may reflect two different pathological processes. Where bone development was small, it consisted of dense cortical bone extending from the enthesis into the deep digital flexor tendon. Such development can occur through physiological remodelling of the tendon insertion to increase the surface area of attachment for strength (Shaibani et al., 1993; Benjamin et al., 2000). Larger bone developments were not limited to the enthesis and resembled exostosis. This is likely stimulated by trauma to the osteoprogenitor cells of the periosteum, which respond by multiplying and differentiating into osteoblasts to form new bone (Rana et al., 2009). This “periosteal reaction” could be
stimulated by direct trauma due to insufficiencies in the force-dissipating structures surrounding the flexor tuberosity (Benjamin et al., 2006) or through macrophage action in surrounding tissues, such as inflammation during an active CHDL (Hasturk et al., 2012). The latter mechanisms suggests that a CHDL precedes bone development and could highlight the importance of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory therapy in treating CHDLs, although a temporal link between lameness and bone development cannot be confirmed from this study.

Whilst the work described here seems most pertinent to the aetiology of sole ulcer and sole haemorrhage, it could also in part help explain the aetiology of other lesions such as white line disease and heel ulcers. White line disease may result from compression of the germinal epithelium when compression occurs beneath the abaxial aspect of the flexor tuberosity (Lischer et al., 2002) and have a similar causal pathway to sole ulcers and haemorrhage. This study and other cross-sectional work (Tsuka et al., 2012) suggest that bone development initially occurs on the abaxial aspect of the distal phalanx. Haemorrhage in the corium beneath this site could elicit or be exacerbated by bone development on the abaxial aspect of the distal phalanx, and be visible in the white line as it grows out, becoming a risk area for separation, impaction and infection. This could explain why CHDLs occur around similar stages of lactation and share similar risk factors (Leach et al., 1997; Machado et al., 2011; Green et al., 2014), pointing to a common underlying disease process.

Heel ulcers have been described as related to but distinct from sole ulcers; they occur more caudally than the typical sole ulcer site, appear more prevalent in older animals and recover poorly in comparison (Toussaint-Raven, 1985; Blowey et al., 2000; Haslam and Roberts, 2011). We observed extensive bone development caudal to the distal phalanx, which extended up to 28.2 mm; the caudal limit of large bone development corresponded with the heel ulcer site. We propose that during foot-strike, the tips of these caudal bone development
could exert focal pressure on the germinal epithelium in this region, causing contusions that develop into heel ulcers. Further work is required to confirm or refute this mechanism.

This study was based on a convenience sample of the hind feet from 72 Holstein dairy cows culled from one UK research herd. Since locomotion score data were incomplete for cows that had moved from Langhill to the Acrehead unit, it remains possible that our model criteria led to the selection of a biased subset(s) of the study population. The first lameness variable constructed described locomotion during the first two lactations. The sample size was up to 60 cows (depending on the data available within the period tested) and we found no association between locomotion during the first and second lactations and bone development. However, in this instance, the period of locomotion data and slaughter were separated by up to 8 years and the lack of an association was not surprising. Next, the locomotion variable described the period immediately preceding slaughter; animals were only eligible for these models if they had locomotion data during the 12 months pre-slaughter. As locomotion data were only collected at the Langhill unit, the cohort was not a randomly selected subset of the population, rather it was a specific cohort constituting younger cows; cows did not remain at Langhill beyond four lactations but could be moved to Acrehead early, largely due to incidence of mastitis, poor fertility or specific experimental protocols. Whilst we acknowledge the potential for bias, we have no reason to suspect that early movement of cows to Acrehead influenced our results because the reasons for movement were not directly associated with lameness. Associations were evident and statistically significant in our final models and it is certainly possible that the general inferences reported apply to the wider population of Holstein dairy cows in any lactation, not selected for culling.

In summary, for bias to undermine the central inferences of our results and make them ungeneralizable to the wider population, either the association would need to be specific to the subpopulation studied or the converse of the results would need to be true in the wider
population; that is, older cows with bone development had better locomotion. We think this unlikely given the previous supporting work in this area (Tsuka et al., 2012) and the biological plausibility of our findings: CHDL occurrence was positively associated with bone development (models 1b and 2b) and the percentage of lame locomotion scores proceeding slaughter was positively associated with CHDL occurrence (Figure 4). This suggests an association between lameness measured by locomotion score and bone development is plausible. Further studies, either imaging the distal phalanx during life or prospectively culling randomly selected cows from a herd, are required to confirm or refute our inferences about the generalizability of our results to the wider population.

Approximately 60% of the variation in bone development remained unexplained, depending on the model, and explanatory variables in the statistical models may have been either missed or imprecise. For example, locomotion scoring is known to have relatively poor inter- and intra-observer repeatability (Whay et al., 1997; Channon et al., 2009; Walker et al., 2010) but this is improved by aggregating scores on the 5-point scale to binary ‘lame’ or ‘non-lame’ classifications, as we did. If other important variables for our models exist, they were unavailable for analysis. The variables genetic line, management system and culling reason were insignificant, yet given the small dataset, their effect could have gone undetected whilst still being important in the wider population.

**Conclusion**

This work describes and quantifies bone development, or exostosis, on the distal phalanx of cull dairy cows. Where locomotion data were available, bone development was greater in cows with a history of lameness from lesions of claw horn disruption (as indicated by locomotion score and lesion occurrence) after accounting for the effect of age.
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**Figures and tables**

Figure 1a: Sagittal, frontal and transverse cross sectional views of a bovine digit, orientated to take anatomical measurements, and a three-dimensional image to demonstrate the normal bone contour. The caudal most aspect (identified in the sagittal view) of the trochlear ridge (identified in the frontal view) of the distal interphalangeal joint is located as “X”. Dashed lines in the sagittal and frontal sections demonstrate the plane of the transverse image, within which a square dot (●) marks the intersection with the dotted line drawn vertically down from X.

Figure 1b: One sagittal and two transverse views of a distal phalanx demonstrating bone development measures A to D, and a three-dimensional image to demonstrate bone development extending from the caudal aspect of the distal phalanx. Measurement A was the greatest vertical bone development from the contour of the cortical bone, on the plantar aspect of the flexor tuberosity. Site X was a consistent landmark (the caudal most aspect of the trochlear ridge of the distal phalanx in the distal interphalangeal joint) that did not alter with bone development, and a line drawn vertically down from X was visible in all views and became the origin of measurements B, C and D. Measurement B was taken in the sagittal plane and extended horizontally to the distal-most tip (towards the toe) of bone development on the plantar aspect of the distal phalanx. Measurements C and D were taken in the transverse plane and extended to the caudal-most tip of the greatest bone development axial to and abaxial to location X respectively.

Figure 2: Scatterplots of four measures of bone development, A to D from lateral (×) and medial (Δ) claws, between contralateral hind feet within cow, from a post mortem study investigating the association between bone development on the caudal aspect of the distal phalanx bone and lameness history.
Figure 3: Scatterplot showing the correlation between two cow level measures that describe the average length and the maximum length of bone development on the caudal aspect of the distal phalanges of the hind feet (BD-Ave and BD-Max respectively), in a post mortem study investigating the link between bone development at slaughter and lameness history.

Figure 4: Distribution of the explanatory variable locomotion (the percentage of scores at which a cow was lame during the 12 months pre-slaughter) within two groups of cows that had not (CHDL0) and that had (CHDL1) been recorded as having a claw horn disruption lesion during life.

Figure 5: Histology of new bone developments on the caudal aspect of the distal phalanx confirms that they are bone. The left hand image shows bone development growing vertically down from the flexor tuberosity. Towards the top of the image, normal cortical bone of the flexor tuberosity is visible. Towards the bottom, the periosteum appears as a thickened fibrous structure with increased cellularity on the peripheral border, showing active periosteocytes in a response to trauma. The right hand image appears to be normal trabecular bone, but is from the centre of a large bone development outside the contour of the normal bone, demonstrating structured new bone growth in this location.

Figure 6: Proposed sequences of events involved in the pathogenesis of claw horn disruption lesions (CHDLs). Self-perpetuating cycles are suggested, with inflammation being a key factor in lesion recurrence. Line styles highlight the levels of current evidence supporting the links displayed: (1) data in peer-reviewed literature supports this link (—), (2) some evidence exists to substantiate this link, but it has not been confirmed (—•••••) and (3) the present study hypothesises this link (— • • •).
Table 1: Descriptive data of bone development measures at the claw level (A to D) and at the cow-level measures (BD-Max and BD-Ave), for all cows. Measurements A and B quantify bone development in plantar and dorsal directions from the caudal aspect of the distal phalanx of each claw respectively, and C and D describe the length of caudal protrusions on the medial and lateral aspects of the distal phalanx. A to D are combined within claw, and the greatest claw value for each cow (BD-Max) and the average value across the hind claws (BD-Ave) are shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement (mm)</th>
<th>Claw</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Lower quartile</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Upper quartile</th>
<th>Max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A(^1)</td>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medial</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B(^1)</td>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medial</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(^1)</td>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medial</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D(^1)</td>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>7.39</td>
<td>28.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medial</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>6.50</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD-Max</td>
<td></td>
<td>72</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>9.62</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>96.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD-Ave</td>
<td></td>
<td>72</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>6.94</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>69.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)Lateral > Medial when all measurements A to D were tested together, p = 0.024. Differences between lateral and medial measurements of A to D when tested individually were not significant (p = 0.32, 0.30, 0.07, 0.11 respectively).
Table 2: Linear regression models based on 38 cows with locomotion score data within the 12 months preceding slaughter, with outcome variables BD-Max (the sum of bone development measures A-D on the most severely affected hind claw, for each cow) and BD-Ave (the average of the sum of bone development measures on each hind claw of each cow). Explanatory variables were age at slaughter (yr) and either:

(a) Locomotion score: the percentage of scores at which a cow was severely lame (locomotion score 4 or 5) during the 12 months preceding slaughter.

(b) Locomotion score: the locomotion score variable described in (a), but categorised as severely lame at <2% of scores, at 2-50% of scores or at >50% of scores.

(c) Occurrence of claw horn disruption lesions (CHDLs) throughout life (0 or ≥1).

(a) 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>BD-Max (mm)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>BD-Ave (mm)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coefficient</td>
<td>S.E.</td>
<td>P value</td>
<td>Coefficient</td>
<td>S.E.</td>
<td>P value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>-6.48</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>-1.44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locomotion score¹</td>
<td>9.72</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Null variance explained (%)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>BD-Max</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>BD-Ave</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coefficient</td>
<td>S.E.</td>
<td>P value</td>
<td>Coefficient</td>
<td>S.E.</td>
<td>P value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>-6.63</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>-1.78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locomotion score² &lt;2% (n=8)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-50% (n=26)</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;50% (n=4)</td>
<td>9.83</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Null variance explained (%)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>BD-Max</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>BD-Ave</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coefficient</td>
<td>S.E.</td>
<td>P value</td>
<td>Coefficient</td>
<td>S.E.</td>
<td>P value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>-3.88</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHL² 0 (n=26)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥1 (n=12)</td>
<td>6.98</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Null variance explained (%)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹The coefficient demonstrates the difference between 0 and 100 % of scores lame

²Numbers of cows in each category are shown in brackets. Categories were used during modelling to allow the inclusion of all cows with missing locomotion or lesion data. Models did not fit when all cows with missing data were included in these categories. Therefore, models with good fit are reported, rather than models with inadequate fit that include missing data.
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